

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,079	04/22/2004	Stephen Bennett Elliott	6024		
75	590 10/19/2005	•	EXAM	INER	
Stephen Bennett Elliott			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM		
702 Buffalo Springs Drive Allen, TX 75013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3762		
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/19/2009	DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/829,079	ELLIOTT, STEPHEN BENNETT				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael Kahelin	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	on coponation address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
/-	·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 August 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

4. In regards to claims 3-16, the active voice, as opposed to the passive voice, should be used. For instance, in claim 3, "feedback... is provided". The method steps must be actively recited, such as "the method of claim 1 wherein facilitating the synchronization of the varying breathing cycle with the varying heart rate variability cycle comprises providing feedback..." Examiner has interpreted the claims as being actively recited and should be amended accordingly.

Art Unit: 3762

- 5. In regards to claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, and 16, the elements of an apparatus must be positively recited before being further limited. These apparatus claims merely recite functional limitations, rendering them vague because it is unclear whether these are system (apparatus) claims or method claims. It is suggested to first positively recite components of the system before further limiting them. For instance, claim 1 could read: "A system for achieving coherence of the heart rate variability cycle during exercise comprising a means for facilitating the synchronization..."
- 6. In regards to claims 11, 12, 13 and 14, the limitations of "said tempo generation" and "what feedback" are lacking antecedent basis. Because there is no mention of these elements in claims 1 or 2, they cannot be further limited. It is suggested to first positively recite these elements before limiting them further. Examiner is interpreting the elements as having been positively recited and should be amended accordingly.
- 7. In regards to claim 16, "etc." renders the claim vague because the metes and bounds of the claim are unclear. It is suggested to list only those embodiments for which patent protection is sought.
- 8. In regards to claims 17 and 18, the claims are omnibus type claims. The phrase "present invention" is vague because it is unclear as to what metes and bounds this encompasses. Examiner has interpreted "present invention" as being the limitations as set forth in claim 1.

Art Unit: 3762

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-6 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vaschillo et al. (5,997,482).
- 11. In regards to claims 1 and 2, Vaschillo et al. disclose a device/method that facilitates the synchronization of the breathing and heart rate cycles (abstract).
- 12. In regards to claims 3, 4, 5, and 6, feedback is provided as to when to begin inhalation and exhalation based on peak positive and negative heart rate (col. 3, line 23).
- 13. In regards to claims 9 and 10, a fully variable tempo of the heart rate variability and breathing is provided (Fig. 2). Examiner is interpreting physical motion as voluntary breathing.
- 14. In regards to claims 11 and 12, the subject can optimize the rate of physical motion. Because there is nothing preventing physical motion in Vaschillo et al.'s disclosure, the subject is free to optimize their rate of physical motion any way they deem fitting.
- 15. In regards to claims 13 and 14, the user can select multiple forms of feedback (col. 7, line 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,079 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

16. In regards to claims 15 and 16, the device is instantiated in hardware (Fig. 2), which is a physical form.

- 17. In regards to claim 17, the method is applied to a therapeutic modality (Claim 1).
- 18. In regards to claim 18, the method is applied to a human subject (Title).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 20. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaschillo et al. in view of Sackner et al. (6,047,203). Vaschillo et al. disclose the essential features of the claimed invention except for enabling and disabling feedback based on heartbeat rate stability. Sackner et al. teach of a physiological feedback device that enables or disables a message on the basis of heartbeat rate stability (col. 6, line 39) to allow the user to take action based on heartbeat rate stability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Vaschillo et al.'s invention by providing feedback that is enabled or disabled based on heart beat variability to allow the user to take action based on heartbeat rate stability.

### Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other examples of biofeedback devices are provided.

22. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWK

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER